

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,760	09/17/2003	Terry L. Harris	56423.P1	4150	
408 75	90 03/21/2005		EXAMINER		
	IEELY & GRAHAM, P.	HARTMANN, GARY S			
P O BOX 1871 KNOXVILLE, TN 37901			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3671		
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Œ
---

• 1		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
V	Advisory Action	10/664,760	HARRIS, TERRY L.				
•	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gary Hartmann	3671				
-	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		correspondence add	ress			
THE	REPLY FILED 2/2/5 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION						
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant						
	must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
•	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b)	) Mathematical The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
Exten	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal							
- · <u>-</u>	was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AME	NDMENTS	iod set ioitii iii 37 Ci K 41.37(a).					
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because							
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
	appeal; and/or (d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	iected claims				
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected ciaims.				
4. 🗀	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	* **	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. 🛛		will not be entered, or b) 🗌 wi	Il be entered and an e	explanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>13-15</u> .							
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>5-7</u> .						
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-3 and 8-12.						
A F F I	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	4 hafana an am tha data af filian a Ni	ation of Association	4 1 4 4			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be							
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
	Gary Hartmann						
	Primary Examiner						
		-	Art Unit: 3671				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly added limitations require further consideration to determine patentability.